

12 JUN 2006



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC  
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR  
ARLINGTON VA 22203

In re Application of :  
MATYKO et al. :  
Application No.: 10/553,059 : DECISION ON  
PCT No.: PCT/IL2004/000229 :  
Int. Filing Date: 09 March 2004 : PETITION UNDER  
Priority Date: 19 March 2003 :  
Attorney Docket No.: 4529-5 : 37 CFR 1.137(b)  
For: ENHANCED EXTENDABLE MULTIPONT  
LOCK :  
:

This decision is in response to applicant's submission filed 12 October 2005.

#### BACKGROUND

On 09 March 2004, applicant filed international application PCT/IL2004/000229 which designated the U.S. and claimed a priority date of 19 March 2003. A copy of the international application was communicated to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) from the International Bureau on 30 September 2004. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired at midnight on 19 September 2005.

On 12 October 2005, applicant filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States, which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the Basic National Fee and a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the application.

#### DISCUSSION

A petition to revive the present application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must include:

- (1) The required reply;
- (2) The petition fee;
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

As to item (1), applicant submitted the basic national fee on 12 October 2005.

As to item (2), applicant submitted the petition fee on 12 October 2005.

As to item (3), petitioner's statement that the application was unintentionally abandoned has been construed as meaning that the "entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of this petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." If this interpretation is incorrect, applicant must *immediately* notify the Office of PCT Legal Administration of such fact.

A review of the application file reveals that, with the filing of the present petition and accompanying papers, a proper response has been submitted and all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) for revival have been satisfied and revival is therefore appropriate.

**CONCLUSION**

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED** for the reasons set forth above.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

This application is being forwarded to the National Stage Processing Branch of the Office of PCT Operations to continue national stage processing of the application including preparing and mailing a NOTIFICATION OF MISSING REQUIREMENTS (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) requiring an oath or declaration of the inventors in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(h) for filing any of the search fee, the examination fee, or the oath or declaration after the date of the commencement of the national stage.



Daniel Stemmer  
Legal Examiner  
PCT Legal Affairs  
Office of Patent Cooperation Treaty  
Legal Administration  
Telephone: (571) 272-3301  
Facsimile: (571) 273-0459